



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FII	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/604,070	0	06/25/2003	Peter J. Kennedy	U02-0126.32	1069		
54494	7590	06/29/2006		EXAMINER			
		ALLEN PLLC FO	DEANE JR, WILLIAM J				
P.O. BOX 1 430 DAVIS		UITE 500	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
	,	LE PARK, NC 27	2614				

DATE MAILED: 06/29/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary			Application No.		Applicant(s)				
			10/604,070	; ;	KENNEDY, PETER J.				
			Examiner		Art Unit				
			William J. De	eane	2614				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun r Reply	nication app	ears on the c	over sheet with the c	correspondence ad	dress			
WHIC - Exten after 3 - If NO - Failur Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE N sions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum stee to reply within the set or extended period for reply eply received by the Office later than three months department adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DA s of 37 CFR 1.13 munication. tatutory period w y will, by statute,	ATE OF THIS 36(a). In no event, vill apply and will e cause the applica	COMMUNICATION however, may a reply be tire expire SIX (6) MONTHS from tion to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this co	,			
Status				:					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <i>21 Ar</i>	oril 2006.	:					
			action is non	ı-final.					
3)□	, — · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·								
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims								
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/a								
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are rejected.								
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restrict	uirement.							
Application	on Papers			: : ;					
9)□ ¯	The specification is objected to by th	ne Examiner	r.	:					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.									
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).									
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119			: :					
a)[	Acknowledgment is made of a claim All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority 2. Certified copies of the priority 3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Internations ee the attached detailed Office actions.	documents documents of the prior	s have been is have been it ity document i (PCT Rule	received. received in Applicat s have been receive 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National	Stage			
Attachment	(s)			:					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)									
2) 🔲 Notice 3) 🔲 Inform	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (Fination Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or No(s)/Mail Date			Paper No(s)/Mail D  Notice of Informal F  Other:	ate	D-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/604,070

Art Unit: 2614

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1 – 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 6,456,234 (Johnson) in view of U.S. Patent Application No. 2004/0103158 (Vella).

With respect to claims 1 and 8, note, the receiving data processing system (RDPS) and the Summary of the Invention, the receiving of a public text message (Amber Alert; Col. 3, lines 51 – 62, with respect to header and data portions, see Figs. 7A – 9D. Johnson teaches the claimed limitations except the notification of receipt or reply message. With regard to sending a reply message to the sender of a text message note that Vella teaches such at Paragraphs 0068 and 0079 – 0081. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have incorporated a means of notifying a sender of receipt of a message as taught by Vella into the Johnson device in order that the sender knows of delivery completion.

With respect to claims 2 - 5 and 9 - 12, note Figs. 7A - 9D of Johnson.

With respect to claims 6 – 7 and 13 - 14 note Col. 3, lines 37 – 40 and Col. 13, line 16 – Col. 14, line 35 of Johnson.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 - 14 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bill Deane whose telephone number is (571) 272-7484. In addition, facsimile transmissions should be directed to Bill Deane at facsimile number (703) 273-8300.

15Jun2006

WILLIAM J. DÉANE, JR. PRIMARY EYAMINED